

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virgina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/606,537	06/26/2003	Laszlo Lipcsei	O2MICRO 01.13 CON CIP	5774
7590 12/10/2003			EXAMINER	
Edmund P. Pfleger			PATEL, RAJNIKANT B	
Grossman Tucker Perreault & Pfleger, PLLC			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
55 South Commercial Street Manchester, NH 03101			2838	- THE ENGINEER

DATE MAILED: 12/10/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	10/606,537	LIPCSEI, LASZLO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Rajnikant B Patel	2838				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Se	eptember 2003.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This a	action is non-final.					
)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2838

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- The invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Poulo et al. (U.S. Patent # 5,111,133).

Poulo et al. discloses claimed invention (figure 8), including a comparator (figure 8, item 32, an accuracy circuit (figure 8, item 50) configured to provide a predetermined offset voltage value to the first signal (Abstract line 1-25).

In regards to claims 2-8, Poulo et al. also discloses claimed subject matters, including error amplifier and offset voltage source.

3. Claims 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wilcox et al. (U.S. Patent # 5,847,554).

Wilcox et al. discloses claimed invention DC-DC converter (figure 3 and 9), including a comparator (figure 9, item 954), an inductor (figure 9, item 916) a current level through the inductor (figure 9, item 948).

Application/Control Number: 10/606,537

Art Unit: 2838

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 11-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Poulo et al. (U.S. Patent # 5,111,133) in combination with Nerone (U.S. Patent # 5,859,504).

Polulo et al. discloses claimed invention as explained in the claims 1 and 9, above, except the utilization the technique for a predetermined amplification factor and RC circuit. Nerone teaches the utilization similar technique a predetermined amplification factor and RC circuit (Abstract, line 10-25, column 2, line 55-70+). It would have been obvious one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Poulo et al.'s converter circuit by utilizing the technique taught by Nerone for the purpose of providing a feedback signal which stabilize the operation of load circuit.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rajnikant B Patel whose telephone number is 305-7042. The examiner can normally be reached on 6.30-5.00; m-f.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Sherry can be reached on 703-308-1680. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 872-9318.

Application/Control Number: 10/606,537

Art Unit: 2838

Page 4

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-3431.

Rajnikant B Patel Primary Examiner Art Unit 2838
